

MEMBERS  
**LINDA S. HOTCHKISS, MD**  
CHAIRPERSON  
**ALAN GERSHEL**  
VICE-CHAIRPERSON  
**REV. DR. LOUIS J. PRUES**  
SECRETARY  
**PETER A. SMIT**  
**LINDA M. ORLANS**  
**JASON M. TURKISH**  
**ANDREAS SIDIROPOULOS, MD**  
**KATIE STANLEY**  
**TISH VINCENT**

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
**ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE BOARD**



333 WEST FORT STREET, SUITE 1700  
DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48226-3147  
PHONE: 313-963-5553

**MARK A. ARMITAGE**  
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR  
—  
**WENDY A. NEELEY**  
DEPUTY DIRECTOR  
—  
**KAREN M. DALEY**  
ASSOCIATE COUNSEL  
—  
**SHERRY MIFSUD**  
OFFICE ADMINISTRATOR  
—  
**OWEN R. MONTGOMERY**  
CASE MANAGER  
—  
**JULIE M. LOISELLE**  
RECEPTIONIST/SECRETARY  
—

[www.adbmich.org](http://www.adbmich.org)

**NOTICE OF 179-DAY SUSPENSION WITH CONDITIONS**  
**(By Consent)**

Case Nos. 22-68-AI; 22-89-JC

**Notice Issued: March 3, 2023**

Andrew J. Paluda, P 42890, Royal Oak, Michigan, by the Attorney Discipline Board Tri-County Hearing Panel #53

Suspension - 179 Days, Effective June 10, 2022

Respondent and the Grievance Administrator filed a Stipulation for Consent Order of 179-Day Suspension With Conditions which was approved by the Attorney Grievance Commission and accepted by the hearing panel. The stipulation contains respondent's admission that he was convicted, by no contest plea, of the felony offense of Operating While Intoxicated, Third Offense, in violation of MCL/PACC Code 257-3256D, in a matter titled *People v Andrew Joseph Paluda*, Oakland County Circuit Court Case No. 21-278749-FH.

Based on respondent's admissions and the parties' stipulation, the panel found that respondent violated a criminal law of a state or of the United States, an ordinance, or tribal law, which constituted professional misconduct under MCR 9.104(5).

In accordance with the stipulation of the parties, the hearing panel ordered that respondent's license to practice law in Michigan be suspended for 179 days, effective June 10, 2022, as agreed to by the parties. The panel also ordered that respondent be subject to conditions relevant to the established misconduct. Total costs were assessed in the amount of \$827.68.