

MEMBERS

ALAN GERSHEL

CHAIRPERSON

PETER A. SMIT

VICE-CHAIRPERSON

REV. DR. LOUIS J. PRUES

SECRETARY

LINDA M. ORLANS

JASON M. TURKISH

ANDREAS SIDIROPOULOS, MD


KATIE STANLEY

TISH VINCENT

KAMILIA K. LANDRUM

STATE OF MICHIGAN

ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE BOARD



333 WEST FORT STREET, SUITE 1700
DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48226-3147
PHONE: 313-963-5553

WENDY A. NEELEY
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

JOHN K. BURGESS
DEPUTY DIRECTOR

KAREN M. DALEY
ASSOCIATE COUNSEL

SHERRY MIFSUD
OFFICE ADMINISTRATOR

OWEN R. MONTGOMERY
CASE MANAGER

JODIE GROH
CASE MANAGER

JULIE M. LOISELLE
RECEPTIONIST/SECRETARY

www.adbmich.org

NOTICE OF REPRIMAND WITH CONDITION
(By Consent)

Case No. 25-98-GA

Notice Issued: February 26, 2026

Andrea D. Cartwright, P 53463, Southfield, Michigan

Reprimand, Effective February 26, 2026

Respondent and the Grievance Administrator filed a Stipulation for Consent Order of Discipline in accordance with MCR 9.115(F)(5), which was approved by the Attorney Grievance Commission and accepted by Tri-County Hearing Panel #54. Based on the parties' stipulation and respondent's no contest pleas, the panel found that, in two separate client matters, respondent failed to act diligently and communicate effectively. In a landlord-tenant case, respondent mishandled discovery, missed case evaluation requirements, and filed an offer of judgment with payment terms her client had not authorized. In a custody case, respondent failed to properly seek an adjournment, did not appear for scheduled trial dates, and authorized a consent judgment without fully securing her client's agreement.

Specifically, the panel found that respondent failed to seek the lawful objectives of a client through reasonably available means permitted by law and these rules, in violation of MRPC 1.2(a) [Count One]; failed to abide by a client's decision whether to accept an offer of settlement or mediation evaluation of a matter, in violation of MRPC 1.2(a) [Counts One and Two]; failed to act with reasonable diligence and promptness in representing a client, in violation of MRPC 1.3 [Count One]; failed to keep a client reasonably informed about the status of a matter, in violation of MRPC 1.4(a) [Counts One and Two]; failed to explain a matter to the extent reasonably necessary to permit the client to make informed decisions regarding the representation, in violation of MRPC 1.4(b) [Count One]; engaged in conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice, in violation of MCR 9.104(1) and MRPC 8.4(c) [Count Two]; engaged in conduct that exposes the legal profession or the courts to obloquy, contempt, censure, or reproach, in violation of MCR 9.104(2) [Count One]; and engaged in conduct contrary to justice, ethics, honesty, or good morals, in violation of MCR 9.104(3) [Counts One and Two].

In accordance with the stipulation of the parties, the panel ordered that respondent be reprimanded and required her to comply with a condition relevant to the established misconduct. Costs were assessed in the amount of \$1,160.00.