

MEMBERS  
**MICHAEL B. RIZIK, JR.**  
CHAIRPERSON  
**LINDA S. HOTCHKISS, MD**  
VICE-CHAIRPERSON  
**REV. DR. LOUIS J. PRUES**  
SECRETARY  
**KAREN D. O'DONOGHUE**  
**MICHAEL S. HOHAUSER**  
**PETER A. SMIT**  
**ALAN GERSHEL**  
**LINDA M. ORLANS**  
**JASON M. TURKISH**

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
**ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE BOARD**



333 WEST FORT STREET, SUITE 1700  
DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48226-3147  
PHONE: 313-963-5553

**MARK A. ARMITAGE**  
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR  
—  
**WENDY A. NEELEY**  
DEPUTY DIRECTOR  
—  
**KAREN M. DALEY**  
ASSOCIATE COUNSEL  
—  
**SHERRY MIFSUD**  
OFFICE ADMINISTRATOR  
—  
**ALLYSON M. PLOURDE**  
CASE MANAGER  
—  
**OWEN R. MONTGOMERY**  
CASE MANAGER  
—  
**JULIE M. LOISELLE**  
RECEPTIONIST/SECRETARY  
—  
[www.adbmich.org](http://www.adbmich.org)

**NOTICE OF SUSPENSION**

Case No. 21-31-RD

**Notice Issued: January 5, 2022**

Charles PT Phoenix, P 61096, Naples, Florida, by the Attorney Discipline Board Tri-County Hearing Panel #81

Suspension - Two Years, Effective January 4, 2022

The Grievance Administrator filed a notice of filing of reciprocal discipline pursuant to MCR 9.120(C) that attached a certified copy of an opinion and order suspending respondent's license to practice law in Florida for two years entered by the Supreme Court of Florida on January 28, 2021, effective 30 days after issuance, in a matter titled *The Florida Bar v Charles Paul-Thomas Phoenix*, SC17-585. Upon receipt of respondent's timely objection to the Board's Order Regarding Imposition of Reciprocal Discipline and request for a hearing, this matter was assigned to Tri-County Hearing Panel #81, pursuant to MCR 9.120(C)(3), for disposition.

After considering respondent's objection and request for hearing, along with the Administrator's response, the panel found that a hearing was not necessary because respondent was afforded due process of law in the court of the original proceeding, and that the imposition of comparable discipline in Michigan would not be clearly inappropriate. Therefore, the panel ordered that respondent's license to practice law in Michigan be suspended for two years, effective January 4, 2022. Costs were assessed in the amount of \$1,518.50.