


MEMBERS  
**LINDA S. HOTCHKISS, MD**  
CHAIRPERSON  
**ALAN GERSHEL**  
VICE-CHAIRPERSON  
**REV. DR. LOUIS J. PRUES**  
SECRETARY  
**PETER A. SMIT**  
**LINDA M. ORLANS**  
**JASON M. TURKISH**  
**ANDREAS SIDIROPOULOS, MD**  
**KATIE STANLEY**  
**TISH VINCENT**

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
**ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE BOARD**



333 WEST FORT STREET, SUITE 1700  
DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48226-3147  
PHONE: 313-963-5553

**MARK A. ARMITAGE**  
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR  
—  
**WENDY A. NEELEY**  
DEPUTY DIRECTOR  
—  
**KAREN M. DALEY**  
ASSOCIATE COUNSEL  
—  
**SHERRY MIFSUD**  
OFFICE ADMINISTRATOR  
—  
**ALLYSON M. PLOURDE**  
CASE MANAGER  
—  
**OWEN R. MONTGOMERY**  
CASE MANAGER  
—  
**JULIE M. LOISELLE**  
RECEPTIONIST/SECRETARY  
—  
[www.adbmich.org](http://www.adbmich.org)

**NOTICE OF SUSPENSION WITH CONDITIONS**

Case No. 22-49-MZ (Ref. 21-53-GA)

**Notice Issued: November 29, 2022**

Hussein N. Rahal, P 79471, Dearborn, Michigan, by the Attorney Discipline Board Tri-County Hearing Panel #16.

Suspension - 90 Days, Effective May 27, 2022.<sup>1</sup>

A show cause hearing was held in this matter on the Grievance Administrator's motion to increase discipline and petition for an order to show cause why discipline should not be increased for respondent's failure to comply with Tri-County Hearing Panel #16's January 19, 2022 Order of Suspension With Conditions (By Consent). The hearing panel found that based on respondent's admissions, stipulations, testimony and exhibit admitted respondent violated an order of discipline, in violation of MCR 9.104(9). Specifically, the panel found that respondent negligently failed to file a timely affidavit of compliance with the Attorney Grievance Commission and the Attorney Discipline Board, in violation of MCR 9.119(C); practiced law, had contact with clients or potential clients, appeared as an attorney before courts, and held himself out as an attorney, when he was suspended from the practice of law, in violation of MCR 9.119(E)(1)-(4).

The hearing panel ordered that respondent's license to practice law in Michigan be suspended for 90 days, effective May 27, 2022, the date respondent ceased practicing law after retaining competent disciplinary counsel. The panel further ordered that respondent be subject to conditions relevant to the established misconduct. Costs were assessed in the amount of \$1,842.50.

---

<sup>1</sup> Respondent has been continuously suspended from the practice of law in Michigan since February 10, 2022. Please see Notice of [90-Day] Suspension With Conditions (By Consent), issued February 11, 2022 in *Grievance Administrator v Hussein N. Rahal*, 21-53-GA.