

MEMBERS
JONATHAN E. LAUDERBACH
CHAIRPERSON
MICHAEL B. RIZIK, JR.
VICE-CHAIRPERSON
BARBARA WILLIAMS FORNEY
SECRETARY
KAREN D. O'DONOGHUE
LINDA S. HOTCHKISS, MD
MICHAEL S. HOHAUSER
PETER A. SMIT
ALAN GERSHEL
LINDA M. ORLANS

STATE OF MICHIGAN
ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE BOARD



333 WEST FORT STREET, SUITE 1700
DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48226-3147
PHONE: 313-963-5553

MARK A. ARMITAGE
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
—
WENDY A. NEELEY
DEPUTY DIRECTOR
—
KAREN M. DALEY
ASSOCIATE COUNSEL
—
SHERRY MIFSUD
OFFICE ADMINISTRATOR
—
ALLYSON M. PLOURDE
CASE MANAGER
—
OWEN R. MONTGOMERY
CASE MANAGER
—
JULIE M. LOISELLE
RECEPTIONIST/SECRETARY
—
www.adbmich.org

NOTICE OF REPRIMAND WITH CONDITIONS
(By Consent)

Case Nos. 20-56-JC; 20-57-GA

Notice Issued: February 9, 2021

Craig L. Sigworth, P 41247, Bloomfield Hills, Michigan, by the Attorney Discipline Board Tri-County Hearing Panel #76.

Reprimand, Effective February 6, 2021

The respondent and the Grievance Administrator filed a Stipulation for Consent Order of Reprimand With Conditions, in accordance with MCR 9.115(F)(5), which was approved by the Attorney Grievance Commission and accepted by the hearing panel. The stipulation contained respondent's admission that he was convicted of (1) operating while intoxicated, a misdemeanor, in violation of MCL 257.6251(A), in *City of Bloomfield Hills v Craig Leroy Sigworth*, 48th District Court Case No. 19-BH-01322OD and (2) of operating while visibly impaired, a misdemeanor, in violation of MCL 257.6252(C), in *City of Detroit v Craig Leroy Sigworth*, 36th District Court Case No. Z793550, as set forth in the Administrator's Notice of Filing of a Judgment of Conviction filed on August 6, 2020. Additionally, the stipulation contains respondent's admission that he failed to provide notice of these convictions to the Attorney Grievance Commission and Attorney Discipline Board, as alleged in the formal complaint combined with the judgment of conviction and filed the same date.

Based upon respondent's admissions and the stipulation of the parties, the panel found that respondent engaged in conduct that was contrary to justice, ethics, honesty, or good morals, in violation of MCR 9.104(3); engaged in conduct that violated a criminal law of a state or of the United States, an ordinance, or tribal law pursuant to MCR 2.615, in violation of MCR 9.104(5) and MRPC 8.4(b); and failed to provide notice of his convictions, in violation of MCR 9.120(A) and (B).

In accordance with the stipulation of the parties, the hearing panel ordered that respondent be reprimanded and subject to conditions relevant to the established misconduct. Costs were assessed in the amount of \$757.62.

/s/ Mark A. Armitage
Executive Director