

MEMBERS
ALAN GERSHEL
CHAIRPERSON
PETER A. SMIT
VICE-CHAIRPERSON
REV. DR. LOUIS J. PRUES
SECRETARY
LINDA M. ORLANS
JASON M. TURKISH
ANDREAS SIDIROPOULOS, MD
KATIE STANLEY
TISH VINCENT
KAMILIA K. LANDRUM

STATE OF MICHIGAN
ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE BOARD



333 WEST FORT STREET, SUITE 1700
DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48226-3147
PHONE: 313-963-5553

WENDY A. NEELEY
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
—
JOHN K. BURGESS
DEPUTY DIRECTOR
—
KAREN M. DALEY
ASSOCIATE COUNSEL
—
SHERRY MIFSUD
OFFICE ADMINISTRATOR
—
OWEN R. MONTGOMERY
CASE MANAGER
—
JODIE GROH
CASE MANAGER
—
JULIE M. LOISELLE
RECEPTIONIST/SECRETARY
—
www.adbmich.org

NOTICE OF REPRIMAND WITH CONDITIONS
(By Consent)

Case No. 24-114-JC

Notice Issued: February 28, 2025

Kama N. Patel, P 62237, Northville, Michigan

Reprimand - Effective February 28, 2025

Respondent and the Grievance Administrator filed a Stipulation for Consent Order of Reprimand With Conditions, in accordance with MCR 9.115(F)(5), which was approved by the Attorney Grievance Commission and accepted by Tri-County Hearing Panel #12. The stipulation contained respondent's admissions that she was convicted by guilty pleas of: (1) on January 29, 2024, Allowing an Unlicensed Person to Drive, a misdemeanor, in violation of MCL/PACC 257.326, in *People of the Township of Northville v Kama Naisargi-Rasik Patel*, 35th District Court Case No. 23V02030A-OT; and (2) on April 11, 2024, Operating While Intoxicated Second Offense, a misdemeanor, in violation of MCL/PACC 257.6251-A, in *People of the State of Michigan v Kama Naisargi-Rasik Patel*, 15th District Court Case No. 23F5-5685-FD, and that these convictions constituted professional misconduct.

Based on respondent's convictions, admissions and the parties' stipulation, the panel found that respondent committed professional misconduct when she engaged in conduct that violated a criminal law of a state or of the United States, an ordinance, or tribal law pursuant to MCR 2.615, in violation of MCR 9.104(5).

In accordance with the stipulation of the parties, the hearing panel ordered that respondent be reprimanded and imposed conditions relevant to the established misconduct. Costs were assessed in the amount of \$858.44.