

MEMBERS
ALAN GERSHEL
CHAIRPERSON
PETER A. SMIT
VICE-CHAIRPERSON
REV. DR. LOUIS J. PRUES
SECRETARY
LINDA M. ORLANS
JASON M. TURKISH
ANDREAS SIDIROPOULOS, MD
KATIE STANLEY
TISH VINCENT
KAMILIA K. LANDRUM

STATE OF MICHIGAN
ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE BOARD



333 WEST FORT STREET, SUITE 1700
DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48226-3147
PHONE: 313-963-5553

WENDY A. NEELEY
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
—
JOHN K. BURGESS
DEPUTY DIRECTOR
—
KAREN M. DALEY
ASSOCIATE COUNSEL
—
SHERRY MIFSUD
OFFICE ADMINISTRATOR
—
OWEN R. MONTGOMERY
CASE MANAGER
—
JODIE GROH
CASE MANAGER
—
JULIE M. LOISELLE
RECEPTIONIST/SECRETARY
—
www.adbmich.org

NOTICE OF DISBARMENT

Case No. 24-69-RD

Notice Issued: November 26, 2024

Lenore LuAnn Albert, P 85667, Laguna Beach, California

Disbarment, Effective November 22, 2024

The Grievance Administrator filed a Notice of Filing of Reciprocal Discipline that attached a certified copy of an order from the Supreme Court of California dated June 17, 2024, disbaring respondent from the practice of law in California. *In re Lenore LuAnn Albert on Discipline*, Case No. S284532.

An order regarding imposition of reciprocal discipline was issued by the Board on July 30, 2024, ordering the parties to, within 21 days from service of the order, inform the Board in writing (i) of any objection to the imposition of comparable discipline in Michigan based on the grounds set forth in MCR 9.120(C)(1) and (ii) whether a hearing was requested. Respondent filed a motion to expand the record and an objection on August 14, 2024, and requested a hearing. The matter was assigned to Washtenaw County Hearing Panel #4 for disposition.

The panel denied respondent's motion to expand the record and request for a hearing finding that respondent was afforded due process in the disciplinary proceedings conducted by the California State Bar, and that it would not be clearly inappropriate to impose comparable discipline in Michigan. On October 31, 2024, the panel issued an order disbaring respondent from the practice of law in Michigan, effective November 22, 2024. Costs were assessed in the amount of \$1,525.32.