

MEMBERS  
**JONATHAN E. LAUDERBACH**  
CHAIRPERSON  
**MICHAEL B. RIZIK, JR.**  
VICE-CHAIRPERSON  
**BARBARA WILLIAMS FORNEY**  
SECRETARY  
**KAREN D. O'DONOGHUE**  
**LINDA S. HOTCHKISS, MD**  
**MICHAEL S. HOHAUSER**  
**PETER A. SMIT**  
**ALAN GERSHEL**  
**LINDA M. ORLANS**

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
**ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE BOARD**



333 WEST FORT STREET, SUITE 1700  
DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48226-3147  
PHONE: 313-963-5553

**MARK A. ARMITAGE**  
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR  
—  
**WENDY A. NEELEY**  
DEPUTY DIRECTOR  
—  
**KAREN M. DALEY**  
ASSOCIATE COUNSEL  
—  
**SHERRY MIFSUD**  
OFFICE ADMINISTRATOR  
—  
**ALLYSON M. PLOURDE**  
CASE MANAGER  
—  
**OWEN R. MONTGOMERY**  
CASE MANAGER  
—  
**JULIE M. LOISELLE**  
RECEPTIONIST/SECRETARY  
—  
[www.adbmich.org](http://www.adbmich.org)

**NOTICE OF SUSPENSION**  
(By Consent)

Case Nos. 20-84-AI; 21-12-JC

**Notice Issued: September 30, 2021**

Kevin E. Clinesmith, P 70962, Washington DC, by the Attorney Discipline Board Tri-County Hearing Panel #25.

Suspension - Two Years, Effective August 19, 2020

Respondent and the Grievance Administrator filed a Stipulation for Consent Order of Discipline, in accordance with MCR 9.115(F)(5), which was approved by the Attorney Grievance Commission and accepted by the hearing panel. The stipulation contains respondent's acknowledgment that he was convicted by guilty plea of false statements, a felony, in violation of 18 § USC 1001(a)(3), in *United States of America v Kevin E. Clinesmith*, United States District Court, District of Columbia Case No. 20-cr-00165-JEB-1, as well as the parties' agreement to certain facts and background as specifically set forth in the parties' stipulation. In accordance with MCR 9.120(B)(1), respondent's license to practice law in Michigan was automatically suspended effective August 19, 2020, the date of his felony conviction.

Based on respondent's admissions and the stipulation of the parties, the panel found that respondent engaged in conduct that was prejudicial to the proper administration of justice, in violation of MCR 9.104(1); engaged in conduct that exposed the legal profession or the courts to obloquy, contempt, censure, or reproach, in violation of MCR 9.104(2); engaged in conduct that was contrary to justice, ethics, honesty, or good morals, in violation of MCR 9.104(3); engaged in conduct that violated the standards or rules of professional conduct adopted by the Supreme Court, in violation of MCR 9.104(4); and engaged in conduct that violated a criminal law of a state or of the United States, an ordinance, or tribal law pursuant to MCR 2.615, in violation of MCR 9.104(5).

In accordance with the stipulation of the parties, the hearing panel ordered that respondent's license to practice law in Michigan be suspended for two years, effective August 19, 2020, as agreed to by the parties. Costs were assessed in the amount of \$1,037.10.