

MEMBERS
LINDA S. HOTCHKISS, MD
CHAIRPERSON
ALAN GERSHEL
VICE-CHAIRPERSON
REV. DR. LOUIS J. PRUES
SECRETARY
PETER A. SMIT
LINDA M. ORLANS
JASON M. TURKISH
ANDREAS SIDIROPOULOS, MD
KATIE STANLEY
TISH VINCENT

STATE OF MICHIGAN
ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE BOARD



333 WEST FORT STREET, SUITE 1700
DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48226-3147
PHONE: 313-963-5553

MARK A. ARMITAGE
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
—
WENDY A. NEELEY
DEPUTY DIRECTOR
—
KAREN M. DALEY
ASSOCIATE COUNSEL
—
SHERRY MIFSUD
OFFICE ADMINISTRATOR
—
OWEN R. MONTGOMERY
CASE MANAGER
—
JULIE M. LOISELLE
RECEPTIONIST/SECRETARY
—

www.adbmich.org

NOTICE OF REPRIMAND WITH CONDITIONS
(By Consent)

Case Nos. 22-60-JC; 22-61-GA

Notice Issued: April 6, 2023

Michael G. Mack, P 31173, Alpena, Michigan, by the Attorney Discipline Board Emmet County Hearing Panel #3

Reprimand, effective April 4, 2023

Respondent and the Grievance Administrator filed a Stipulation for Consent Order of Reprimand With Conditions, pursuant to MCR 9.115(F)(5), that was approved by the Attorney Grievance Commission and accepted by the hearing panel. The stipulation contained respondent's admissions that he was convicted on March 28, 2022, by guilty plea, of two counts of operating a motor vehicle with a blood alcohol content in excess of .17, a misdemeanor, in violation of MCL 257.625(1)(c), in matters titled *People v Michael Gerard Mack*, 88-1 Judicial District Court, Case Nos. 21-0326-SD and 21-0329-SD. Additionally, the stipulation contained respondent's admission that he did not give notice of either conviction to the Grievance Administrator and the Attorney Discipline Board, violated the conditions of his bond, failed to timely turn himself into the jail as ordered, and failed to timely answer a Grievance Administrator's Request for Investigation relating to the bond violation and convictions.

Based on respondent's admissions and the stipulation of the parties, the panel found that respondent engaged in conduct that violated a criminal law of a state or of the United States, an ordinance, or tribal law pursuant to MCR 2.615, in violation of MCR 9.104(5); failed to notify the Grievance Administrator and Attorney Discipline Board of his convictions, in violation of MCR 9.120(A)(1); knowingly disobeyed an obligation under the rules of a tribunal, in violation of MRPC 3.4(c); failed to timely answer a request for investigation in conformity with MCR 9.113(A) and (B)(2), in violation of MCR 9.104(7); engaged in conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice, in violation of MRPC 8.4(c) and MCR 9.104(1); engaged in conduct that exposes the legal profession or the courts to obloquy, contempt, censure or reproach, in violation of MCR 9.104(2); and engaged in conduct that is contrary to justice, ethics, honesty or good morals, in violation of MCR 9.104(3).

In accordance with the stipulation of the parties, the hearing panel ordered that respondent be reprimanded and subject to conditions relevant to the established misconduct. Costs were assessed in the amount of \$1,359.46.